



# **Peer-to-Peer Process Execution with OSIRIS**

Christoph Schuler\*, Roger Weber\*, Heiko Schuldt#, Hans-J. Schek\*

1st International Conference on Service Oriented Computing, December 2003, Trento, Italy

\*) Database Research Group, Swiss Federal Instit. of Technology ETH, Zurich, Switzerland #) University for Heath Informatics and Technology UMIT, Innsbruck, Austria

#### Outline

#### • Internet-scale Web Service Composition

- Composing Web services
- Services everywhere
- OSIRIS Architecture
  - Peer-to-Peer Process Execution
  - Metadata Replication
- Performance Evaluation
  - Scalability Characteristics
- Conclusion and Outlook

## Web Service Composistion



- "Mega programming" or
  "Programming in the large" combine existing service to value added services
  - Recursively reusing services
- Processes allows for visual programming .
- What infrastructure to define and execute processes?
  - BPEL4WS Modeling Tool
  - State-of-the-art process management system

## Scale to internet size



- Service composition on Internet-scale
- Number of services increases dramatically
  - On-Demand Computing
  - GRID Initiatives
- Continues fluctuations on system configuration
- What system architecture can manage this situation ?
  - Classical process management system?
  - In 10 years?

## **Existing Concepts**





- Process Management
  - Programming in the Large
  - Visual Programming
  - Reuse of existing services
  - Composite Services
- GRID Computing
  - Self adaptaion
  - On demand computing
  - Resource Management
  - Publish-and-Subscribe
    - Load Balancing
    - Service Bus

## **Existing Concepts**



- **Peer-to-Peer** 
  - Direct communication
  - Scalability
  - Spans large scale communities

- **Database Technology** 
  - Atomicity —
  - Consistency
  - Isolation
  - Durability





## **OSIRIS** Idea

- **Processes** to combine services
- Peer-to-peer execution of processes
- Support for dynamic system configuration (GRID)
- Resource and Metadata Management (GRID)
- **Providing Execution Guarantees** as known from databases
- $\rightarrow \underline{OSIRIS} = \underline{O}pen \underline{S}ervice \\ \underline{I}nfrastructure for \underline{R}eliable \\ and \underline{I}ntegrated Process \\ \underline{S}upport$



## **OSIRIS** Architecture





## Late Service Binding - Routing

- More than one provider of a service type.
- Process definition
  - No concrete binding
  - Service Type
  - Semantic Specification
- **Publish-to-ONE** routes process instance to subsequent step
  - → Cluster Subscriber
  - → "Service Bus"
- OSIRIS implements Publish-to-ONE without
  - Central hub
  - Broadcast

## **Metadata Replication**



## **Replication Example: Process Data**





## Workload Load Balancing

- Workload balancing allows for selecting optimal service provider
- Exploit workload information to route process instance.
- Workload information must be locally available.
- Global **load repository** subscribes for significant changes of provider workload
- And **publishes** global changes to peers

## **Providing "Database like" Guarantees**

Compensated Failed

- Transactional Processes
  - Guaranteed Termination
  - compensation and alternative execution paths
- Persistent process routing
  - → 2PC Protocol from Peer to Peer
  - → Reliability Service
- Concurrency Control on Service level
  - → Intercepting Service Call
  - → Global Concurrency Control Service

## **Providing "Database like" Guarantees**



- Transactional Processes
  - Guaranteed Termination
  - compensation and alternative execution paths
- Persistent process routing
  - → 2PC Protocol from Peer to Peer
  - → Reliability Service
- Concurrency Control on Service level
  - → Intercepting Service Call
  - → Global Concurrency Control Service

## **Providing "Database like" Guarantees**



- Transactional Processes
  - Guaranteed
    Termination
  - compensation and alternative execution paths
- Persistent process routing
  - → 2PC Protocol
  - → Reliability Service
- Concurrency Control on Service level
  - → Intercepting Service Call
  - → Global Concurrency Control Service

## **OSIRIS:** The big Picture



### Outline

#### • Internet-scale Web Service Composition

- Composing Web services
- Services everywhere
- OSIRIS Architecture
  - Peer-to-Peer Process Execution
  - Metadata Replication
- Performance Evaluation
  - Scalability Characteristics
- Conclusion and Outlook

## **Evaluation Setting**



- Centralized vs. Peer-to-Peer process execution
  - One basic process type
  - Every activity takes 2s
- $\bigcirc \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \bigcirc \longrightarrow \bigcirc$
- Increasing number of providers and processes

#### **Process Throughput – Centralized vs. Peer-to-Peer**



- Increasing overall load in the system
  - Centralized Approach: with 8 nodes, the limit of scalability is reached
  - OSIRIS (P2P): scales well, already 16 peers sufficient to cover the full load

#### **Process Response Times – Centralized vs. Peer-to-Peer**





- Same scenario than before
  - Centralized Approach: coordinator as bottleneck – adding more than 8 nodes will not further decrease avg. response time

**OSIRIS (P2P):** no bottleneck, almost perfect scalability

- Dramatically increasing number of services and processes in the internet need a new architecture to high scalability.
- Combining ideas from different areas together with a sophisticated replication mechanism allows for realizing a truly distributed peer-to-peer process management system.
- The OSIRIS infrastructure has a high potential to scale with respect to increasing number of providers as well as to increasing number of processes.
- Applied to Information Space Management of ETHWorld (Virtual Campus of ETH Zurich)
- First evaluation results presented here support the scalability predictions.

### Outlook



- A more complex benchmark setting will show, for what class of application OSIRIS architecture is best suited.
- What concepts can be applied to partially improve existing approaches.
  - Service GRID infrastructure
  - Central process management
- Acknowledgment: Work supported by the IBM Labs Germany, Böblingen with a IBM Hardware SUR Grant

Thank you ...

Questions?