

Automatic Composition of *e*-Services that Export their Behavior

D. Berardi, D. Calvanese, G. De Giacomo, M. Lenzerini, M. Mecella

Dipartimento di Informatica e Sistemistica Università di Roma "La Sapienza"

lastname@dis.uniromal.it
http://www.dis.uniromal.it/~lastname/

Automatic composition of e-services

Basics on *e*-Services

- *e*-Service is interactive program *typically delivered over the Internet*
- ... that exports its behavior ...

i.e., its process

• ... in terms of an abstract description

e.g., state chart, UML state-transition diagram, FSM

• A client selects and interacts with it according to the description exported

Community of *e*-Services

- A community of *e*-Services is
 - a set of *e*-Services …
 - ... that share implicitly a *common understanding* on a common set of actions ...
 - ... and export their behavior using this common set of actions

 A client specifies needs as e-Service behavior using the common set of actions of the community

e-Service exports its behavior ...

Many possible ways. In this talk...

- Behavior modeled by a finite state machines core of state chart, UML state-transition diagram, etc.
- In fact using a FSM we compactly describe all possible sequences of deterministic (atomic) actions: tree of all possible sequences of actions
- Data produced by actions not explicitly modeled data are used by the client to choose next action

e-Service as execution tree

Required behavior represented as a FSM (a Moore machine) Execution tree (obtained by FSM unfolding)



a: "search by author (and select)"b: "search by title (and select)"r: "listen (the selected song)"



e-Service as execution tree

- Nodes: history (sequence) of actions executed so far
- Root: no action yet performed
- Successor node x a of x: action a can be executed after the sequence of action x
- Final nodes: the e-Service can terminate

a: "search by author (and select)"b: "search by title (and select)"r: "listen (the selected song)"

Execution tree (obtained by FSM unfolding)



e-Service composition

• Added value of the community...

...when a client request cannot be satisfied by any available e-Service, it may still be possible to satisfy it by combining "pieces" of e-Services in the community

- Two issues arise:
 - support for synthesizing composition:
 - automatic synthesis of a coordinating program (composition) ...
 - ... that realizes the target e-Service (client request) ...
 - ... by suitably coordinating available e-Services

addressed here

support for orchestration: execution of the coordinating program
 not addressed here

Formalizing *e*-Service composition

Composition:

- coordinating program ...
- ... that realizes the target e-Service ...
- ... by suitably coordinating available e-Services

Composition can be **formalized** as:

- a labeling of the execution tree of the target *e*-Service such that ...
- ... each action in the execution tree is labeled by the community *e*-Service that executes it ...
- ... and each possible sequence of actions on the target *e*-Service execution tree corresponds to possible sequences of actions on the community *e*-Service execution trees, suitably interleaved.

• Community *e*-Services (*expressed as FSMs*)



•Target *e*-Service (again expressed as FSM)





coordinating program (composition)





coordinating program (composition)

All e-Services start from their starting state



Each action of the target e-Service is executed by at least one of the component e-Services



When the target e-Service can be left, then all component e-Services must be in a final state





Observation

• This labeled execution tree has a finite representation as a FSM, a Mealy machine



Is this always the case when we deal with e-Services expressible as FSMs? See later...

Berardi et al.

Automatic composition of e-services

Observation



Note: we cannot label the FSM directly we need to label the execution tree Execution tree of S_0 (obtained by FSM unfolding)



Questions

Assume *e*-Services of community and target *e*-Service are FSMs

- Can we always check composition existence?
- If a composition exists there exists one which is a Mealy machine (i.e., finite state)?
- If yes, how can a Mealy machine composition by computed?

To answer we exploit DPDL (a well-known modal logic for reasoning on program schemas)

Answers

Reduce *e*-Service composition synthesis to satisfability in DPDL

- Can we always check composition existence?
 Yes, SAT in DPDL is decidable in EXPTIME
- If a composition exists there exists one which is a Mealy machine (i.e., finite state)?

Yes, by the small model property of DPDL

 How can a Mealy machine composition by computed?

From a (small) model of the corresponding DPDL formula

DPDL encoding



DPDL encoding is polinomial in the size of the e-Service FSMs

DPDL encoding

- Target *e*-Service $S_0 = (\Sigma, S_0, S_0^0, \delta_0, F_0)$ in DPDL we define Φ_0 as the conjuction of:
 - $S \rightarrow \neg S'$ for all pairs of distinct states in S_0 *e-Service states are pair-wise disjoint*
 - $s \rightarrow \langle a \rangle T \wedge [a]s'$ for each $s' = \delta_0(s,a)$ target e-Service can do an a-transition going to state s'
 - S → [a] ⊥ for each δ₀(s,a) undef. target e-Service cannot do an a-transition

$$- F_0 \equiv \bigvee_{s \in F0} s$$

denotes target e-Service final states

DPDL encoding (cont.d)

- Community *e*-Services $S_i = (\Sigma, S_i, S_i^0, \delta_i, F_i)$ in DPDL we define Φ_i as the conjuction of:
 - $S \rightarrow \neg S'$ for all pairs of distinct states in S_i *e-Service states are pair-wise disjoint*
 - $s \rightarrow [a](moved_i \land s' \lor \neg moved_i \land s)$ for each $s'=\delta_i(s,a)$ if e-Service moved then new state, otherwise old state
 - $s \rightarrow [a](\neg moved_i \land s)$ for each $\delta_i(s,a)$ undef. if e-Service cannot do a, and a is performed then it did not move

-
$$F_i \equiv \bigvee_{s \in Fi} s$$

denotes community e-Service final states

DPDL encoding (cont.d)

- Additional assertions Φ_{aux}
 - $\begin{array}{ll} & <a > T \rightarrow [a] \lor_{i=1,...,n} \textit{moved}_i & \text{for each action a} \\ & at \textit{ least one of the community e-Services must move at each step} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} - & F_0 \rightarrow \wedge_{i=1,...,n} F_i \\ & \textit{when target e-Service is final all comm. e-Services are final} \end{array}$

- Init =
$$S_0^0 \wedge I_{i=1...n} S_i^0$$

Initially all e-Services are in their initial state

DPDL encoding: $\Phi = \text{Init} \land [u](\Phi_0 \land_{i=1,...,n} \Phi_i \land \Phi_{aux})$

Results

Thm: Composition exists iff DPDL formula Φ SAT

From composition labeling of the target e-Service one can build a <u>tree model</u> of the DPDL formula and viceversa

Information on the labeling is encoded in predicates moved,

Composition existence of *e*-Services expressible as FSMs is decidable in EXPTIME

Results on Mealy composition

Thm: If composition exists then Mealy composition exists. From a <u>small model</u> of the DPDL formula Φ , one can build a Mealy machine

Information on the output function of the machine is encoded in predicates moved_i

<u>Mealy</u> composition existence of *e*-Services expressible as FSMs is decidable in EXPTIME

. . .



DPDL

 $s_0^{0} \wedge s_1^{0} \wedge s_2^{0}$ $<a>T \rightarrow [a] (moved_1 \lor moved_2)$ $T \rightarrow [b] (moved_1 \lor moved_2)$ $<r>T \rightarrow [r] (moved_1 \lor moved_2)$ $F_0 \rightarrow F_1 \wedge F_2$

Target *e*-Service



- $S_0^0 \rightarrow \neg S_0^1$
- $s_0{}^0 \rightarrow {<}a{>} \mathsf{T} \wedge \textbf{[a]} \ s_0{}^1$
- $s_0^0 \rightarrow \langle b \rangle T \wedge [b] s_0^{-1}$
- $s_0{}^1 \rightarrow <\! r\! > T \wedge [r] \; s_0{}^0$
- $s_0{}^0 \ \rightarrow [r] \perp \wedge [r] \ s_0{}^0$
- $s_0^1 \rightarrow [a] \perp$
- $s_0^1 \rightarrow [b] \perp$

 $F_0 \equiv S_0^0$

...

...

...

Community *e*-Services





$$\begin{split} s_1{}^0 &\to \neg s_1{}^1 \\ s_1{}^0 &\to [a] \text{ (moved}_1 \land s_1{}^1 \lor \neg \text{ moved}_1 \land s_1{}^0 \text{)} \\ s_1{}^0 &\to [r] \neg \text{ moved}_1 \land s_1{}^0 \\ s_1{}^0 &\to [b] \neg \text{ moved}_1 \land s_1{}^0 \\ s_1{}^1 &\to [a] \neg \text{ moved}_1 \land s_1{}^1 \\ s_1{}^1 &\to [b] \neg \text{ moved}_1 \land s_1{}^1 \\ s_1{}^1 &\to [r] \text{ (moved}_1 \land s_1{}^0 \lor \neg \text{ moved}_1 \land s_1{}^0 \text{)} \\ F_1 &\equiv s_1{}^0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} s_2^{\ 0} &\to \neg s_2^{\ 1} \\ s_2^{\ 0} \to [b] \ (moved_2 \land s_2^{\ 1} \lor \neg moved_2 \land s_2^{\ 0}) \\ s_2^{\ 0} \to [r] \neg moved_2 \land s_2^{\ 0} \\ s_2^{\ 0} \to [a] \neg moved_2 \land s_2^{\ 0} \\ s_2^{\ 1} \to [b] \neg moved_2 \land s_2^{\ 1} \\ s_2^{\ 1} \to [a] \neg moved_2 \land s_2^{\ 1} \\ s_2^{\ 1} \to [r] \ (moved_2 \land s_2^{\ 0} \lor \neg moved_2 \land s_2^{\ 0}) \\ F_2 \equiv s_2^{\ 0} \end{split}$$

Check: run SAT on DPDL formula Φ





Check: run SAT on DPDL formula Φ Yes \Rightarrow (small) model

- \Rightarrow extract Mealy machine
- \Rightarrow minimize Mealy machine





Berardi et al.

Automatic composition of e-services

Future work

We have only scratched the surface ...

- Implementation? *We are working on it, using DPDL/DL-systems based on tableaux*
- Hardness of FSM *e*-Service composition?
 ...at least PSPACE-hard! EXPTIME-hard?
- Loose specification of target e-Service?
 - target *e*-Service "under-specified" Note: angelic nondeterminism ongoing work
- Incomplete specification of e-Services of the community?
 - *e*-Services export partial description of their behavior to the community

Note: synthesis with diabolic nondeterminism very hard!

• Data? ...